Boletim da Sociedade Astronômica Brasileira, **31**, no. 1, 14-16

A bright fireball over the coast of the state of Bahia

M. Zurita[1,2], R. Damiglê[1,3], C. Di Pietro[1], L. Trindade[1], G. G. Silva[1,4], A. Lima[1], A. Mota[1], R. Arthur[1,5], & A. S. Betzler[1,6]

[1] Brazilian Meteor Observation Network (BRAMON); e-mail: carlos.pbella@gmail.com
e-mail: lauristontrindade@yahoo.com.br,arrowgreenflash@gmail.com,alexsandromota.805@gmail.com
[2] Associação Paraibana de Astronomia (APA); e-mail: marcelozurita@gmail.com
[3] Universidade Estadual do Ceará (UECE); e-mail: rubens.damigle@aluno.uece.br
[4] Instituto de Química/Universidade de São Paulo (IQ/USP); e-mail: g_goncalves_silva@hotmail.com
[5] Centro de Estudos Astronômicos de Alagoas (CEAAL); e-mail: romualdoarthur@gmail.com
[6] Universidade Federal do Recôncavo da Bahia (UFRB); e-mail: a_betzler@yahoo.com

Abstract. In February, 21st, 2018, around 01:27 (UT), inhabitants from the oceanfront cities of the states of Bahia, Sergipe and Alagoas observed a very bright fireball. Using four videos obtained with security cameras installed in metropolitan region of Salvador (Bahia) and in a car near Aracaju (Sergipe), it was possible determined the fireball trajectory. With a velocity of 15.94 km/s, the fireball exploded at 21 km of altitude, about 134 km ESE from the coast of the city of Salvador. The energy released was estimated in 8.5×10^{-3} kt of TNT, corresponding to a pre-atmospheric mass between 700 kg and 1100 kg. The meteoroid diameter was estimated between 0.7 m and 0.85 m. Applying the D-criterion in the estimate heliocentric orbit, we suggested that it was a sporadic meteor.

Resumo. Em 21 de Fevereiro de 2018, cerca de 01:27 (UT), habitantes das cidades costerias dos estados da Bahia, Sergipe e Alagoas observaram um brilhante *fireball*. Usando quatro vídeos obtidos de câmeras de segurança instaladas na região metropolitana de Salvador (Bahia) e em um carro próximo a Aracaju (Sergipe), foi possível determinar a trajetória do *fireball*. Com uma velocidade de 15.94 km/s, o *fireball* explodiu a 21 km de altitude, cerca de 134 km ESE da costa da cidade de Salvador. A energia liberada foi estimada em 8.5×10^{-3} kt de TNT, correspondendo a uma massa pré-atmosférica entre 700 e 1100 kg. O diâmetro do meteoroide foi estimado entre 0.7 m e 0.85 m. Aplicando o critério-D na estimativa de órbita heliocêntrica, sugerimos que foi um meteoro esporádico.

Keywords. Meteor – Astrometry

1. Introduction

The growth of video cameras use has increased the number of brilliant meteor recorded in the world. This has also allowed an improvement of accuracy and precision in astrometry and in transit timing of these meteors. The recordings may or may not be made by equipments associated with projects dedicated to meteor monitoring (Rendtel 2017). These projects, in which BRAMON (Brazilian Meteor Observation Network) is included, have a network of cameras of higher sensibility that, with the aid of a dedicated software, are able to record the exact moment of meteor passages (Amaral et al. 2018). Yet, accidental records can happen in cameras not associated with any monitoring project like security and dash cams. On the night of February, 21st, 2018, 01:27 (UT), four cameras in the eastern Bahia and Sergipe states accidentally recorded a large meteor on the coast of the Bahia state as seen in Fig. 1. In this work, it was evaluated the atmospheric trajectory, heliocentric orbit and pre-atmospheric mass of the progenitor object of the meteor, and estimate the mass of meteorite fragments that reached the surface of the Earth.

2. Methods

2.1. Location and equipment

Security cameras were in Salvador, Camaçari and Conceição do Coité, Bahia state, and a dash cam was installed in a car at the SE-100 road near Aracaju, Sergipe state Fig. 2. Cameras with CCD of similar sensibility curves, quantum efficiency peak near 90% (around 650 nm; Gural 2014), a cutoff for wavelengths exceeding 750 nm, 30 frames/s rate, luminous fluxes about 0.1 lx, FOV of about 120 deg^2 (plate scale of hundreds of arcsec/pixel).

2.2. Analysis

To obtain the atmospheric trajectory and the heliocentric orbit, it is necessary the ascension and declination of the beginning and end of the meteor trajectory and the transit time between these points. Coordinates were estimated using the plate scale and the equatorial coordinates of the FOV centers in each frame, which, in turn, were obtained from the approximate azimuth and altitude of the FOV centers inferred by information provided by the camera users. The videos were synchronized by comparison of the light curves of the meteor in each recording, using as a reference the curve of the Conceição do Coité video. Given the low sensitivity of the cameras, bright stars or planets were not recorded. Street lamps with constant brightness were used as reference for photometric calibration.

Figure 1. Meteor as seen from Conceição do Coité (Bahia state) security camera.



Figure 2. A — Position of each camera and the meteor. B — The orbit of the meteor.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Photometry, atmospheric trajectory and orbit

Using street lamps as a photometric calibration referential, the meteor reached a peak of average absolute magnitude equal to −17, with a relative percentage deviation of 20%. The visible phase of the meteor captured by the cameras occurred between altitudes of 56 km and 21 km (relative to sea level), when it exploded 134 km ESE from the city of Salvador. The meteoroid had a pre-atmospheric velocity of 15.94 km/s, and had an angle of 49° relative to the horizon. This velocity implies that this meteor is prograde, having been captured by the terrestrial gravitational field. The heliocentric orbit was estimated using the UFOOrbit© software (SonotaCo 2009) shown in Fig. 2. This program has four levels (from Q0 to Q3) to evaluate the quality of the orbit. This orbit was classified as quality Q2, with orbital elements and pre-atmospheric velocity (Table 1) showing a relative percentage deviation of about 10%.

3.2. Light curve, pre-atmospheric mass and diameter, and origin

The total energy was estimated at 8.5×10^{-3} kt of TNT by the light curve of absolute magnitude (Ceplecha et al. 1996) shown in Fig. 3. Using the pre-atmospheric velocity, the mass of the meteor was 900 kg, with a deviation of 20% associated with uncertainties in the absolute magnitude (Romig 1965). Applying the ablation model by Hawkins (1964), it is estimated that about 4.2% of the pre-atmospheric mass reached the surface of the sea. Assuming the object with density of 3.8 g/cm^3 (Britt & Consolmagno 2003), similar to ordinary chondrite meteorites, type most often found on Earth, the mean diameter is 0.8 m. Using a variant of the orbital dissimilarity criterion of Drummond (Drummond 1981; Galligan 2001), it was



Figure 3. The meteor light curve.

Table 1. Orbital elements of the meteor.

Elements	Value
a	6.8 AU
e	0.856 -
i	2.3 °
Ω	152.1 °
ω	15.2 °
ν	293 °
P	17.56 years

marginally associated with the meteor shower β Cancrids (BCD; Jenniskens 2006), thus been classified as a sporadic meteor.

4. Conclusions

The meteor was prograde, had an entry velocity of 15.71 km/s and a peak of absolute magnitude equal to −17, and made an angle of 49° relative to the horizon. It was classified as sporadic meteor, but it can be slightly related with the BCD shower. The pre-atmospheric mass was 900 kg (diameter of 0.8 m) and 4.2% of it may have reached the sea. The energy released as it entered the atmosphere was 8.5×10^{-3} kt of TNT.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thanks all the owners of the video recordings and the public services that kindly provided the videos used in this analysis. They also would l ike to thanks all other members of the BRAMON, especially Marcelo Wagner Silva Domingues for making and uploading the video with the meteor analysis. A special thanks to Professor Daniela Cardozo Mourão, Professor Fabio Rodrigues and Dr. Douglas Galante.

References

Rendtel J., 2017, Planetary and Space Science, 147, p. 7-11
Amaral, L. S., Trindade, L. S., Bella, C. A. P. B., Zurita, M. L. P. V., Poltronieri, R. C., Silva, G. G., Faria, C. J. L., Jung, C. F., Koukal. J. A., 2018, Proceedings of the IMC, Petnica. In Press
Gural, P. S., 2014, Proceedings of the International Meteor Conference, Giron, France, 18-21 September 2014. Eds.: Rault, J. L., Roggemans, P., pp. 44-48
SonotaCo, 2009, JIMO, 37, p. 55
Ceplecha, Z., Spalding, R. E., Jacobs, C., Tagliaferri, E., 1996, SPIE, 2813, p. 46-56
Romig, M. F., 1965, AIAA Journal, 3 (3), p. 385-394
Hawkins, G. S. , 1964, McGraw-Hill
Britt, D. T. & Consolmagno, S. J., 2003, Meteoritics & Planetary Science, 38 (8), 1161
Drummond, J. D., 1981, Icarus, 45, p. 545
Galligan, D. P., 2001, Mon. Not. R. Astro. Soc., 327, p. 623-628
Jenniskens, P., 2006, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK